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Abstract

Background: Surgical management of breast cancer has evolved significantly over the years, trending away from radical procedures, and moving toward those with complete resection of tumor while preserving the normal parenchyma tissue thereby decreasing patient morbidity. This shift has allowed for improved aesthetic outcomes and quality of life for patients, while maintaining equivalent oncologic safety. The aim of this study was to assess the cosmetic and oncological outcome of different oncoplastic techniques in female patients with early centrally located breast cancer. Methods: This study included 77 female patients with CLBC that were treated at the General Surgery department Benha university hospital using four oncoplastic techniques, from August 2016 to November 2017. Patients were divided into 4 groups according to operation type: Group I: Grisotti technique (n=10), Group II: Melon slice technique (n=7), Group III: Donut technique (n=10), Group IV: Batwing mastopexy technique (n=10). Results: Cosmetic results are assessed by dividing techniques to NAC involvement operations and NAC preserved operations. Group I and II (NAC removal operations) showed a statistically significant difference in patient satisfaction between Grisotti technique which shows better results (7 excellent cases, 3 good and 1 fair) and Melon slice technique which shows (1 excellent case, 2 good and 2 fair) (p=0.001). Group III and IV (NAC preserved operations) showed no statistically significant difference as Donut technique shows (4 excellent, one good and one fair cases) while Batwing mastopexy technique shows (6 excellent, 7 good and one fair cases). Conclusion: Oncoplastic breast surgery techniques are safe and effective and can be used to reconstruct the central quadrant after resection of CLBC with reasonable cosmetic outcomes which improves patient satisfaction.
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Introduction:

Breast cancer represent 12% of cancers diagnosed in female population in Egypt with about 14,400 new cases diagnosed among female in 2016 in Egypt (1).

Breast-conserving surgery (BCS) followed by adjuvant radiotherapy, was documented to be equal to mastectomy with regard to oncological outcomes (1) and had, to a large extent, replaced total mastectomy in the last few decades. Oncoplastic breast surgery (OBS) was developed with the aim of further improving the aesthetic and functional outcomes of BCS (2).

The central quadrantectomy was the usual conservative treatment for CLBC. In the majority of cases, this procedure involves the excision of the NAC as well as the corresponding part of the underlying breast parenchyma down to the pectoralis fascia, which can result in local glandular defects and poor cosmetic results like distortion of the breast contour and scar contracture. The central glandular defect can be corrected with great cosmetic results thanks to the development of OPS procedures, which involve volume displacement or replacement approaches. (4)

Oncoplastic breast surgery (OBS) was developed as an extension of breast-conserving surgery (BCS) in an effort to improve aesthetic and oncological outcome following surgery for breast cancer (5).

The aim of this work was to assess the cosmetic and oncological outcome of different oncoplastic techniques in female patients with early central breast cancer.

Patients and Methods

Type of the study:

This prospective study was carried out at the Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University. A total of 77 female patients with centrally located breast cancer (CLBC) and indicated to oncoplastic breast surgery (OBS) were enrolled into the study.

Target population:

All female patients with centrally located breast cancer who sought treatment at the Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University during the study period from August 2016 to November 2017.

Study population:

All female patients who presented to the outpatient clinic with centrally located breast cancer.

Inclusion criteria:

All patients with early central breast cancer were included in the study. The definition of CLBC is cancer located within 4 cm of the areola (1).
Patients with peripheral or eccentric cancer breast (extends more than 5 cm beyond the areolar margin).

- Multicentric breast cancer.
- History of breast radiotherapy.
- Inflammatory breast cancer (IBC).
- Systemic metastasis.
- Patient refusal of BCS.
- Pregnancy.
- Unfit patients.

Ethical consideration:

All official permission letters taken from director of the General Surgery Department before start in the data collection. The study purpose and treatment were carefully explained to the patients individually. Then, they were consented to participate in the study. They were allowed to ask questions freely to ensure that they had understood.

Methods:

Every patient was subjected to:

A. Clinical assessment:

- **Complete history:** Personal history [including age and parity status, menstrual history, special habits (as smoking), family history (if one member of family of the patient was diagnosed with breast cancer as mother, sister or daughter) and history of drug intake as contraceptive pills or previous radiation exposure.

- **Complete clinical examination of both breasts and axilla including assessment of the breast size (cup size), shape, ptosis (by LaTrenta and Hoffman classification) (5), previous operations (biopsies, previous surgery) in addition to co-morbidities such as diabetes mellitus and obesity.

B. Imaging assessment:

- Ultrasound and mammogram and/ or MRI of breast.

- Metastasis was excluded by metastatic work up in the form of US abdomen, CT chest, and bone scan if needed.

C. Biopsy:

- Tru-cut biopsy and immunohistochemistry to determine the biological type of the tumor. Patients with suspected Paget's breast (PDB) disease underwent wedge biopsy.

D. Laboratory investigations:

- Routine laboratory investigations including:
  - Complete blood picture.
  - Bleeding and coagulation profile.
  - Fasting blood sugar.
  - Renal function tests (blood urea nitrogen and creatinine).
  - Liver function tests (ALT, AST, serum albumin, serum bilirubin).

E. Assessment of the NAC:

Evaluation of NAC is an essential part of the preoperative assessment to detect if NAC is involved or not. NAC complex was excised if any of the following features present: Clinical signs of NAC involvement (retraction of nipple, nipple discharge, ulceration, Paget disease) or radiological findings suggesting malignant involvement of the NAC (distance from the lesion to nipple less than 5 cm, done by MRI).

F. Surgical procedure:

- Grisotti mastopexy.
- Melon slice technique.
- Quadrantectomy with donut technique.
- Quadrantectomy with batwing mastopexy.

Surgical technique:

According to NAC's involvement, size of the breast, and degree of ptosis, we classified the patients into 2 groups (figure 1). Patients who required contralateral breast surgery to achieve bilateral symmetry refused to do any contralateral surgery. Also, patients who underwent NAC resection refused to undergo NAC reconstruction.

Preoperative assessment:

A multidisciplinary team that included one or more specialised members from general surgery, pathology, radiology, radiation, and medical oncology reviewed the patients, and if they met our inclusion criteria, patients were recruited in our study.

Preoperative thorough medical history, physical examination of both breasts and axilla, evaluation of breast size (cup size),

---

Fig (1): Outlines of OPS techniques
shape, ptosis, prior operations (biopsies, previous surgery), as well as co-morbidities such as diabetes mellitus and obesity, were all performed on all patients.

LaTrenta and Hoffman Classification was used to evaluate the degree of breast ptosis. Investigations were conducted, including standard laboratory tests, bilateral mammography and ultrasonography, an MRI, and a metastatic workup. Patients with suspected Paget’s breast (PDB) disease underwent wedge biopsy; core needle biopsy was used for diagnosis.

**Surgical techniques**

Tumors present at the retro-areolar region, or encroaching on it, underwent central quadrantectomy including excision of the nipple/areola complex (NAC) down to the pectoralis fascia. Following surgical excision, the breast specimen was marked with sutures by the surgeon to retain orientation. Surgical margins were determined by macroscopic and histologic examination of frozen sections of the breast specimens in the operating room by a pathologist. An adequate safety margin of 2 cm was always insured.

Patients presenting with radiologically confirmed clinical axilla, had sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB), using patent blue and/or radiolabelled colloid. Combined intradermal peri-areolar and peri-tumoral injection techniques was used. Patients with positive SLNB or radiologically detected lymph nodes in the axillae received level I and II dissection. Axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) was completed in whenever positive one or both axillary lymph nodes levels were accoutered.

1. **Grisotti mastopexy**: It consists of excision of the central quadrant inferior based comma-shaped flap mobilization, with rounded skin island to fill the defect (figures 6 and 7).

![Grisotti technique](image1)

**Fig (6):** Grisotti technique

(A) Preoperative mapping.
(B) Flap-de-epithelialization without new areola.
(C) Central quadrant defect.
(D) Postoperative view.

**Mobilization of the flap will be done using one of the following techniques:**

- The medial margin of the flap was incised down to the pectoral fascia with wide mobilization of the flap from the pectoral fascia; then, the flap was advanced and rotated to fill the defect.
- The dermis of the medial and lateral margins of the flap was released to the required extent but keeping its base on the pectoral fascia intact to preserve blood supply.

![Grisotti technique](image2)

**Fig (7):** Another patient with Grisotti technique

1. **Melon slice technique:** It consists of horizontal elliptical excision, including NAC, with excision of the tumor with safety margin down to pectoral fascia followed direct closure (figure 8).
5. Donut technique:
   It consists of a circular skin marking, the inner circle is made on the border of the areola, and the outer circle depends on the size and location of the tumor, breast ptosis, and the position of the nipple. De-epithelialization of the tissue between circles, then excision of the tumor with safety margins down to the pectoral fascia. Skin incisions are closed using a running technique (figure 5).

(A) Preoperative mapping.
(B) Elliptical incision.
(C) Tumor bed.

2. Batwing mastopexy technique:
   Two semicircular incisions are performed with angled “wings” on each side of the NAC. The half-circles are positioned to allow them to be re-approximated to each other at wound closure. Removal of these skin wings enables the semicircles to be shifted together without creating redundant skin folds at closure (figure 6).
(A) Preoperative mapping.
(B) Glandular defect.
(C) Specimen.
(D) Closure of the wound.

Results:
As Grisotti technique and Melon slice technique are indicated in patients’ tumors with NAC extent, hence, all patients in Group I and II was with NAC involvement before surgery. After surgery, NAC was involved in 84% of patients in Group I (Grisotti technique) and 64% in group II (Melon slice technique) while NAC completely free in Group III (donut technique) and group IV (Batwing mastopexy technique) Table (1)

There were 20 patients (35%) who developed postoperative complications. 6 patients (25%) developed superficial wound infection and were managed conservatively with antibiotics. 3 patients (5%) developed hematoma, all were treated conservatively. 0 patients (0%) developed seroma all were treated with needle aspiration. There was no statistically significant difference between groups according to postoperative complications. Postoperative complications are illustrated in Table (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications, n (%)</th>
<th>Seroma</th>
<th>Hematoma</th>
<th>Wound infection</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grisotti technique</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melon slice technique</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donut technique</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IV</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batwing mastopexy technique</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14 (14)</td>
<td>14 (14)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>28 (28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean tumor size was $\bar{y} \pm \sigma = 7.9 \pm 1.5$ cm. Surgical safety margins were negative in all patients with a mean distance from tumor margin $\bar{y} \pm \sigma = 6.7 \pm 2.9$ cm. There was no statistically significant difference between groups according to Tumor size and Safety margins.

TNM status of the tumors were pT1 in 11 patients ($\gamma,76\%$) and pT2 in 9 patients ($\gamma,24\%$) while axillary lymph nodes were positive in $\gamma,76\%$ patients. There was no statistically significant difference between groups according to TNM status. All Pathological properties are illustrated in Table (7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathological properties</th>
<th>Group I (Grisotti technique)</th>
<th>Group II (Melon slice technique)</th>
<th>Group III (Round block technique)</th>
<th>Group IV (Batwing mastopexy technique)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>ANOVA A / X²</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size, cm</td>
<td>$\gamma,7 \pm 1,8$</td>
<td>$\gamma,6 \pm 1,7$</td>
<td>$\gamma,5 \pm 2,3$</td>
<td>$\gamma,3 \pm 3,6$</td>
<td></td>
<td>.0271</td>
<td>.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety margins</td>
<td>$\gamma,1 \pm 1,8$</td>
<td>$\gamma,5 \pm 1,7$</td>
<td>$\gamma,5 \pm 2,3$</td>
<td>$\gamma,3 \pm 3,6$</td>
<td></td>
<td>.0724</td>
<td>.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage pT¹</td>
<td>$\gamma (\gamma,76%)$</td>
<td>$\gamma (\gamma,76%)$</td>
<td>$\gamma (\gamma,76%)$</td>
<td>$\gamma (\gamma,76%)$</td>
<td></td>
<td>.0025</td>
<td>.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ve LN involvement</td>
<td>$\gamma (\gamma,76%)$</td>
<td>$\gamma (\gamma,76%)$</td>
<td>$\delta (\delta,53%)$</td>
<td>$\lambda (\lambda,26%)$</td>
<td></td>
<td>.0080</td>
<td>.936</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cosmetic results are divided into excellent results of NAC involvement operations and NAC preserved operations. Group I and II (NAC removal operations) showed a statistically significant difference in patient satisfaction between Grisotti technique, which shows better results ($\gamma$ excellent cases, $\gamma$ good and $\delta$ fair), and Melon slice technique which shows (only one excellent case, $\delta$ good and $\delta$ fair) (p-value=.0001). Group III and IV (NAC preserved operations) showed no statistically significant difference as Donut technique shows ($\lambda$ excellent, one good and one fair case) while Batwing mastopexy technique shows ($\gamma$ excellent, $\gamma$ good and one fair case) (p-value=.0184) Table (4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cosmetic result, n (%)</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>X² test</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAC involvement operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I (NAC removed, n=15)</td>
<td>$\gamma (\gamma,76%)$</td>
<td>$\gamma (\gamma,76%)$</td>
<td>$\lambda (\lambda,26%)$</td>
<td>$\gamma,49$</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II (NAC preserved, n=15)</td>
<td>$\delta (\delta,53%)$</td>
<td>$\delta (\delta,53%)$</td>
<td>$\delta (\delta,53%)$</td>
<td>$\delta,20$</td>
<td>.2050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| NAC preserved operations |           |      |      |         |         |
| Group III (NAC preserved, n=15) | $\lambda (\lambda,26\%)$ | $\lambda (\lambda,26\%)$ | $\lambda (\lambda,26\%)$ | $\lambda,49$ | .0001   |
| Group IV (NAC preserved, n=15) | $\gamma (\gamma,76\%)$ | $\gamma (\gamma,76\%)$ | $\lambda (\lambda,26\%)$ | $\gamma,49$ | .0001   |
| Total (N=30) | $\delta (\delta,53\%)$ | $\lambda (\lambda,26\%)$ | $\lambda (\lambda,26\%)$ | $\lambda,49$ | .0001   |

**Discussion**

Breast cancer represents $\gamma,2\%$ of cancers diagnosed in female population in Egypt with about $\lambda,6\%$ new cases diagnosed among female in $\gamma,1\%$ in Egypt ($\gamma$). Breast-conserving surgery (BCS) followed by adjuvant radiotherapy, was documented to be equal to mastectomy with regard to oncological outcomes ($\gamma$), and had to a large extent replaced total mastectomy in the last few decades. Oncoplastic breast surgery (OBS) was developed with the aim of further improving the aesthetic and functional outcomes of BCS ($\gamma$).

The central quadrantectomy was the usual conservative treatment for CLBC. In the majority of cases, this procedure involves the excision of the NAC as well as the corresponding part of the underlying breast parenchyma down to the pectoralis fascia, which can result in local glandular defects and poor cosmetic results like distortion of the breast contour and scar contracture. The central glandular defect can be corrected with great cosmetic results thanks to the development of OPS procedures, which...
Oncoplastic breast surgery (OBS) was developed as an extension of breast conserving surgery (BCS) in an effort to improve esthetic and functional outcome following surgery for breast cancer (1).

The aim of this study was to assess the cosmetic and oncological outcome of different oncoplastic techniques in female patients with early centrally located breast cancer.

This study included 166 female patients with CLBC that were treated at the General Surgery department by four oncoplastic techniques, Benha university hospital from August 2010 to November 2011. Patients were divided into IV groups according to operation type:

- Group I: Grisotti technique (n=42)
- Group II: Melon slice technique (n=42)
- Group III: Round block technique (n=42)
- Group IV: Batwing mastopexy technique (n=42)

The mean age of the patients was 42.5±4.3 years (range; 20-71). There was no statistically significant difference between groups according to age.

In the same line with Essa et al., 2011 study, the mean age was 53.5 years. Naguib 2011 found a mean age of 54.5 years in another study. The age of the patients in this study is similar to that of the previous three investigations.

Regarding Menopausal status, 98 (60%) of patients was postmenopausal state. There was no statistically significant difference between groups according to Menopausal status. 9 (5.9%) patients was with positive Family history of cancer breast. There was no statistically significant difference between groups according to Family history of cancer breast.

As Grisotti technique and Melon slice technique are indicated in patients’ tumors with NAC extent, all patients in Group I and II was with NAC involvement before surgery. In contrast, patients in group III and IV was with no NAC involvement before surgery. (p<0.05).

After surgery, NAC was involved in 102(59.2%) of patients in Group I (Grisotti technique) and 9 (5.9%) in group II (Melon slice technique) while NAC completely removed in Group III (Round block technique) and group IV (Batwing mastopexy technique). There was a statistically significant difference between groups according to Menopausal status. (P<0.05).

There were 14 patients (8.4%) who developed postoperative complications. 7 patients (4.2%) developed superficial wound infection and were managed conservatively with antibiotics. 7 patients (4.2%) developed hematoma, all were treated conservatively. 7 patients (4.2%) developed seroma all were treated with needle aspiration. There was no statistically significant difference between groups according to postoperative complications.

The tumor size (mean, 5.4±2.5 cm). Surgical safety margins were negative in all patients with a mean of 5.2±2.5 cm. There was no statistically significant difference between groups according to Tumor size and Safety margins.

Tumors were staged as I in 11 patients (5.5%) and pT2 in 98 patients (52%). 5 patients (2.7%) patients presenting with positive axillary lymph nodes. There was no statistically significant difference between groups according to TNM stage and LN involvement.

Cosmetic result are assessed by dividing techniques to NAC involvement operations and NAC preserved operations. Group I and II (NAC removal operations) showed a statistically significant difference between Grisotti technique which shows better results (V excellent, IV good and I fair) and Melon slice technique which shows (only one excellent case, good and fair) (p<0.05). Group III and IV (NAC preserved operations) showed no statistically significant difference as Round block technique shows (V excellent, IV good and I fair cases) while Batwing mastopexy technique shows (V excellent, IV good and one fair cases).

Excellent patient satisfaction with oncoplastic breast surgery was also obtained in some studies (V).

Also, in agreement with our results, 2011 determined that 45% of patients were very satisfied or moderately satisfied with the cosmetic outcome; 46% of patients felt that the treated breast was nearly identical or only slightly different from the untreated breast. 2011 observed good cosmetic outcome in 54% to 99% of patients. In the work of 2011, the use of oncoplastic techniques achieved negative margins with acceptable cosmetic results in the majority (90%) of patients. In that of 2011, 98% of patients were moderately to extremely satisfy with the surgery.

In contrast to the present study, 2010 recorded postoperative asymmetry of the breasts in a high proportion of the patients, with the control breast being more ptotic and larger in size than the treated breast.
patients were satisfied with the performed type of breast surgery, while (\(^n\),\(\%\)) preferred subcutaneous mastectomy with implant. (\(^n\),\(\%\)) of patients did not suggest reshaping of treated breast; (\(^n\),\(\%\)) chose nipple reconstruction.

2. Conclusion

Oncoplastic breast surgery techniques are safe and effective and can be used to reconstruct the central quadrant after resection of CLBC with reasonable cosmetic outcomes which improves patient satisfaction.

3. References


From our results and previous literature, Oncoplastic breast surgery procedures are safe and successful, and can be utilized to reconstruct the center quadrant following CLBC excision with acceptable cosmetic results, resulting in increased patient satisfaction.


