INTRODUCTION

Lagenburch in 1882 in Berlin. It has been a standard therapy for the treatment of symptomatic cholelithiasis and cholecystitis. The standard surgical procedure can be performed with a surgical mortality of 0.3-1.0% for elective cholecystectomy and 3.0-10.0% for cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis [Cuschieri and Bouchier, 1988] .

However, the average hospital stay of 8 days and the need for 3-4 weeks postoperative recuperative period account for a major of the expense incurred by the patient . Thus, while standard surgical cholecystectomy is safe and effective in the treatment of gallstone disease, this method incurs significant cost both in money and time . Several authors have reported a modification of the standard surgical operation technique utilizes laparoscopic instrumentation to successful removal of the gall bladder [Buess etal. decreasing the length of and may reduce costs by recuperative hospitalization **s**tay and 'laparoscopic cholecystectomy' permits complete removal of the gall bladder without the need for large incisions abdominal wall and the surgeon's hands not enter the do peritoneal cavity. there is minimal manipulation of] The resultant etal. 1991 abdominal anatomy [Grace decrease in morbidity , hospital stay , and recuperative time suggests that this methodology will gain acceptance within the surgical community. However, all patients should be informed prior to surgery that there is a possibility of having to revert to traditional open—cholecystectomy due to patient anatomy or other complicating indications (Raddick and olsen, 1989).

AIM OF THE WORK

The purpose of this work is to throw a light on this new method of cholecystecomy and to evaluate the advantage of this method .