LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Introduction	1 – 2
Aim of the work	3
Review of literature	4 – 45
Subjects and Methods	
Neo-Neuro & Up Score	48 - 76
 Normal cranial ultrasound 	76 – 79
Statistical analysis	80 - 81
Results	
Statistical Results	82-115
 Case Demonstration 	116-125
Discussion	126-132
Summary and Conclusion	133-134
Recommendations	135
References	136-148
Arabic summary	7-1

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAD	A 1 CD 11 . 1
AAP	American Academy of Pediatrics
ACOG	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
ALT	Alanine Transaminase
AST	Aspartate Transaminase
ATNR	Asymmetrical Tonic Neck Reflex
ATP	Adenosine Tri-Phosphate
BPM	Beat Per Minute
CBF	Cerebral Blood Flow
CBV	Cerebral Blood volume
CK-MB	Creatine Kinase-MB
CN XII	Cranial nerve XII
CNS	Central nervous system
CPP	Cerebral Perfusion Pressure
CT	Computed Tomography
CUSF	Cranial Ultrasound Findings
CV	Co-efficient of Variation
DIC	Dissemenated Intravascular Coagulopathly
DNA	Double Strands Nucleic Acid
ECG	Electro Cardio Gram
EEG	Electro Encephalo Gram
GA	Gestational Age
HIE	Hypoxic – Ischemic Encephalopatly
ICE	Infant Cooling Evaluation
ICH	Intra Cranial Hemorrhage
ICP	Intracranial Pressure
IGF-1	Insulin like Growth Factor-1
IPPV	Intermittent Positive Pressure Ventilation
IVH	Intra Ventricular Hemorrhage

MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
MRS	Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy
NEC	Necrotizing EnteroColitis
NIRS	Near- Infrared Spectroscopy
NMDA	N- Methyl – D – Aspartate
NMDH	N- methyl - D-hydroxylase
NNUS	Neo-Neuro & Up Score
NO	Nitric Oxide
NOS	Nitric Oxide Synthetase
PAF	Platelet Activating Factor
PET	Positron Emission Tomography
PT	Prothrombin Time
PTT	Partial Thromboplastin Time
PVL	Periventricular leucomalacia
SD	Standard Deviation
SPECT	Single – Photon Emission Computed Tomography
TOBY	Total Body Cooling Trial
US	Ultrasound

LIST OF FIGURES

No	Title	Page
1	Simplified schematic representation of the mechanisms	20
	involved in secondary neuronal injury following hypoxic-	
	ischemia	
2	Resting position in prone. The more mature a new born,	49
	the more tightly flexed is the resting posture with more	
	adduction of the shoulders.	
2:A	Term resting posture	
2:B	More premature resting posture	
3	Abnormal posturing	49
3:A	Opisthotonic: the neck & arms are hyperextended, legs	
_	and trunk may also hyperextended.	
3:B	Decerebrate: the lags and arms are extended with the	
	wrists flexed and hands fisted.	
3:C	Decorticate: the arms are flexed and legs extended.	
4:A	Finger grasp	51
4:B	The grasp can be strong in even tiny infants that he can be	
	lifted off the matters	
5	Planter grasp	52
6	Scarf sign	55
7	Popliteal angles	57
8	Heel to ear	58
9	Pull to sitting	60
9:A	The infant actively assists in getting to a sitting position	
	with his head kept in line with the body axis with active	
	flexion.	
9:B	Head lag at the beginning of the maneuver should correct	
	when the upright position is attained.	
9:C	Abnormal response with no assistance from the infant.	
10	Neck control	64
10:A	Anterior neck muscles	
10:B	Posterior neck muscles	
11	Ventral suspension	68
11:A	Good tone	
11:B	Poor tone	
12	Moro reflex by body drop	69
12:A	Infant is supported with arms in comfortable resting	
	position across the chest.	
12:B	Both hands are dropped without tilling the head. The first	69
	part of the response is extension at the elbows and	
	abduction of the arms. Hands open fully.	

12:C	The second part of the response is adduction and flexion	
	with curling of the fingers.	
13	Neo Neuro & Up Score	73
14	Scoring – use corrected gestational age	75
15	Normal cranial ultrasound	80
16	Classification of gestational age for infants with hypoxic-	82
	ischemic encephalopathy	
17	Classification of gender for infants with hypoxic-ischemic	83
	encephalopathy	
18	Classification of mode of delivery for infants with	84
	hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy	
19	Classification of birth weight for infants with hypoxic-	85
	ischemic encephalopathy	
20	Classification of head circumference for infants with	86
	hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.	
21	Classification of Sarnat staging for infants with hypoxic-	87
	ischemic encephalopathy	
22	Classification of Neo-Neuro & Up Score for infants with	88
	hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy	
23	Classification of outcome for infants with hypoxic-	89
	ischemic encephalopathy.	
24	Classification of cranial ultrasound findings for infants	90
	with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.	
25	Classification of premature rupture of membrane (PROM)	91
	for infants with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.	
26	Classification of sepsis for infants with hypoxic-ischemic	92
	encephalopathy.	0.2
27	Classification of diagnosis at admission for infants with	93
20	hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.	0.4
28	Relationship between gestational age and Neo-Neuro &	94
20 4	Up Score Direct Polationship between gestational age and No.	05
28A	Direct Relationship between gestational age and Neo-	95
28B	Neuro & Up Score Relation ship between gestational age and severity of	96
20 D	abnormal Neo-Neuro & Up Score	70
29 A	Relationship between gender and the normality of Neo-	97
29 A	Neuro & Up Score	<i>31</i>
29B	Relationship between gender and the Severity of abnormal	98
2710	Neo-Neuro & Up Score	70
30A	Relationship between mode of delivery and the normality	99
3011	of Neo-Neuro & Up Score))
30B	Relationship between mode of delivery and the severity of	100

	abnormal Neo-Neuro & Up Score.	
31A	Relationship between birth weight and the normality of	101
	Neo-Neuro & Up Score.	
31B	Relationship between birth weight and the severity of	102
	abnormal Neo-Neuro & Up Score	
32A	Relationship between Sarnat stages and the normality of	103
	Neo-Neuro & Up Score.	
32B	Relationship between Sarnat stages and the severity of	104
	abnormal Neo-Neuro & Up Score.	
33A	Relationship between outcome and the normality of Neo-	105
	Neuro & Up Score.	
33B	Relationship between outcome and the severity of	106
	abnormal Neo-Neuro & Up Score.	
34A	Relationship between cranial ultrasound findings and the	108
	normality of Neo-Neuro & Up Score.	
34B	Relationship between cranial ultrasound findings and the	108
	severity of abnormal Neo-Neuro & Up Score.	4.00
35	Relationship between Sarnat stages and cranial ultrasound	109
251	findings.	110
36A	Relationship between sepsis and the normality of Neo-	110
260	Neuro & Up Score.	111
36B	Relationship between sepsis and the severity of abnormal	111
27.4	Neo-Neuro & Up Score.	110
37A	Relationship between diagnosis at admission and the	112
27D	normality of Neo-Neuro & Up Score.	112
37B	Relationship between diagnosis at admission and the	113
20	severity of abnormal Neo-Neuro & Up Score.	111
38	Scatter diagram of the correlation coefficient between birth weight and head circumference.	114
39	Cranial ultrasound is consistant with marked I.V.H. with	117
37	periventricular heamorrhage	11/
40	Cranial ultra sound revealed bright cerebral parenchyma	119
40	suggesting cerebral oedema and small intraveatricular cyst	117
	in right lateral ventricle	
41	Cranial ultrasound is consistent with periventnicular	121
71	leukomalacia as result of ischemic brain insult	121
42	Cranial ultrasound is consistent with brain oedoma & mild	123
	ventriculomegaly	123
43	Cranial ultra sound is consistent with carebraloedema	125
	The second of th	

LIST OF TABLES

No	Title	Page
1	Essential criteria of perinatal asphyxia	4
2	Some factors that place the new born infant at high risk asphyxia	8
3	Essential criteria of perinatal asphyxia	9
4	Apgar scoring system	10
	(Apgar and James 1962)	
5	Interpretation of Apgar score	10
	(Carlo and Chatburn 1988)	
6	Sarnat & Sarnat stages of hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy	11
7	Classifications of cranial ultrasound findings	26
8	Classification of gestational age for infants with hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy	82
9	Classification of gender for infants with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.	82
10	Classification of mode of delivery for infants with hypoxic-	84
	ischemic encephalopathy.	
11	Classification of birth weight for infants with hypoxic-	85
	ischemic encephalopathy	
12	Classification of head circumference for infants with	86
	hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.	
13	Classification of Sarnat staging for infants with hypoxic-	87
	ischemic encephalopathy	
14	Classification of Neo-Neuro & Up Score for infants with	88
	hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy	
15	Classification of outcome for infants with hypoxic-ischemic	89
	encephalopathy.	
16	Classification of cranial ultrasound findings for infants with	90
	hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.	
17	Follow up cranial ultrasound findings	90
18	Classification of premature rupture of membrane (PROM) for	91
10	infants with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.	0.2
19	Classification of sepsis for infants with hypoxic-ischemic	92
20	encephalopathy.	02
20	Classification of diagnosis at admission for infants with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.	93
21	Relationship between gestational age and Neo-Neuro & Up	94
<u> </u>	Score	<i>7</i> 4
21:A	Direct Relationship between gestational age and Neo-Neuro	95
<u></u>	& Up Score) 5
	& op score	

22	Relationship between gender and Neo-Neuro & Up Scare	97
23	Relationship between mode of delivery and Neo-Neruo & Up	98
	Score	
24	Relationship between birth weight and Neo-Neruo & Up	101
	Score	
25	Relationship between Sarnat stages and Neo-Neruo & Up	103
	Score	
26	Relationship between outcome and Neo-Neruo & Up Score	105
27	Relationship between cranial ultrasound findings & Neo-	107
	Neuro & Up Score	
28	Relationship between abnormal cranial ultrasound findings &	107
	Neo-Neuro & Up Score	
29	Relationship between Sarnat stages and cranial ultrasound	109
	findings.	
30	Relationship between sepsis and Neo-Neuro & Up score	110
31	Relationship between diagnosis at admission and Neo-Neuro	112
	& Up Score	
32	Relationship between cranial ultrasound findings, Neo-Neuro	115
	& Up Score and Sarnat stages	