

# **CONTENTS**

<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b> .....	I
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	II
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	III
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	IV
<b>Chapter I : INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
- Significance of the study .....	3
<b>Chapter II : REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b> .....	4
- Anatomy and physiology of the kidney. ....	4
- Etiology of chronic Renal failure. ....	7
- Clinical manifestation of chronic renal failure. ....	10
- Management of chronic renal failure. ....	18
- Hemodialysis. ....	19
- Management of hemodialysis patients. ....	28
- Dialysis complication .....	33
- Nursing care of hemodialysis patients .....	38
- Integrating the nursing and teaching process. ....	41
<b>Chapter III : SUBJECTES AND METHODS</b> .....	50
<b>Chapter IV : DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS.</b> .....	62
<b>Chapter V : DISCUSSION</b> .....	95
<b>Chapter VI : CONCLUSION</b> .....	107
<b>Chapter VII : IMPLICATIONS</b> .....	108
<b>Chapter VIII : RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	110
<b>Chapter IX : SUMMARY</b> .....	111
<b>Chapter X : REFERENCES</b> .....	113
<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	
<b>THESIS PROPOSAL</b> .....	
<b>ADAPIC SUMMARY</b> .....	

## ***LIST OF FIGURES***

<i>Figure (1):</i> Clinical manifestations of CRF	17
<i>Figure (2):</i> Methods of vascular access for hemodialysis. A, External cannula or shunt. B, Internal A-V fistula. C, Looped graft in forearm.	25
<i>Figure (3):</i> Types of dialyzers. A, Hollow fiber. B, Flat plate.	26
<i>Figure (4):</i> Components of a hemodialysis system	27
<i>Figure (1):</i> Mean total functional status scores of self care dimension on admission and after program implementation in the study group	71
<i>Figure (2):</i> Mean total functional status scores of mobility dimension on admission and after program implementation in the study group	72
<i>Figure (3):</i> Mean total functional status scores of communication dimension on admission and after program implementation in the study group	73
<i>Figure (4):</i> Mean total functional status scores of psychological adjustment dimension on admission and after program implementation in the study group	74
<i>Figure (5):</i> Mean total functional status scores of psychological adjustment dimension on admission and after program implementation in the study group	75
<i>Figure (6):</i> Mean total functional status scores of self care adjustment dimension on admission and after program implementation in the control group	76
<i>Figure (7):</i> Mean total functional status scores of mobility dimension on admission and after program implementation in the control group	77
<i>Figure (8):</i> Mean total functional status scores of communication dimension on admission and after program implementation in the control group	78
<i>Figure (9):</i> Mean total functional status scores of psychological adjustment dimension on admission and after program implementation in the control group	79
<i>Figure (10):</i> Mean total functional status scores of cognitive function dimension on admission and after program implementation in the control group	80
<i>Figure (11):</i> Mean total functional status scores for patients in the control group	94

## ***LIST OF TABLES***

<i>Table (1a):</i> Characteristics of hemodialysis patients as regard age and monthly income	63
<i>Table (1b):</i> Characteristics of hemodialysis patients as regard gender, marital status, educational level, job bread winning, smoking status, main source of information and number of hemodialysis sessions per week	64
<i>Table (1c):</i> Distribution of patients according to their health problems during their first and second hemodialysis sessions, height, weight and blood pressure	66
<i>Table (2a):</i> Mean values for clinical variables for patients in the study group on admission compared with those 1 month after program	67
<i>Table (2b):</i> Mean values for clinical variables for patients in the study group on admission compared with those 3 months after program	68
<i>Table (2c):</i> Mean values for clinical variables for patients in the control group on admission compared with those 1 month after program	69
<i>Table (2d):</i> Mean values for some variables for patients in the control group on admission compared with those 3 months after program	70
<i>Table (3):</i> Mean functional status scores of self care dimension on admission and after program implementation (after 1 and 3 months) among patients in the study group	71
<i>Table (4):</i> Mean functional status scores of mobility dimension on admission and after program implementation (after 1 and 3 months) among patients in the study group	72
<i>Table (5):</i> Mean functional status scores of communication dimension on admission and after program implementation (after 1 and 3 months) among patients in the study group	73
<i>Table (6):</i> Mean functional status scores of psychological adjustment dimension on admission and after program implementation (after 1 and 3 months) among patients in the study group	74
<i>Table (7):</i> Mean functional status scores of cognitive function dimension on admission and after program implementation (after 1 and 3 months) among patients in the study group	75
<i>Table (8):</i> Mean functional status scores of self care dimension on admission and after program implementation (after 1 and 3 months) among patients in the control group	76
<i>Table (9):</i> Mean functional status scores of mobility dimension on admission and after program implementation (after 1 and 3 months) among patients in the control group	77
<i>Table (10):</i> Mean functional status scores of communication dimension on admission and after program implementation (after 1 and 3 months) among patients in the control group	78
<i>Table (11):</i> Mean functional status scores of psychological adjustment dimension	79

<i>Table (12):</i> Mean functional status scores of cognitive function dimension on admission and after program implementation (after 1 and 3 months) among patients in the control group	80
<i>Table (13):</i> Mean total functional status scores on admission for patients in the study group compared with those for patients in the control group	81
<i>Table (14a):</i> Mean functional status scores of self care dimension one month after admission for patients in the study group compared with those for patients in the control group	82
<i>Table (14b):</i> Mean functional status scores of self care dimension three month after admission for patients in the study group compared with those for patients in the control group	83
<i>Table (15a):</i> Mean functional status scores of mobility dimension one month after admission for patients in the study group compared with those for patients in the control group	84
<i>Table (15b):</i> Mean functional status scores of mobility dimension 3 months after admission for patients in the study group compared with those for patients in the control group	85
<i>Table (16a):</i> Mean functional status scores of communication dimension one month after admission for patients in the study group compared with those for patients in the control group	86
<i>Table (16b):</i> Mean functional status scores of communication dimension 3 months after admission for patients in the study group compared with those for patients in the control group	87
<i>Table (17a):</i> Mean functional status scores of psychological adjustment dimension one month after admission for patients in the study group compared with those for patients in the control group	88
<i>Table (17b):</i> Mean functional status scores of psychological adjustment dimension three month after admission for patients in the study group compared with those for patients in the control group	89
<i>Table (18a):</i> Mean functional status scores of cognitive function dimension one month after admission for patients in the study group compared with those for patients in the control group	90
<i>Table (18b):</i> Mean functional status scores of cognitive function dimension three month after admission for patients in the study group compared with those for patients in the control group	91
<i>Table (19):</i> Mean total functional status scores for patients in the study group on admission and after program implementation (after 1 month and after 3 months)	92
<i>Table (20):</i> Mean total functional status scores on for patients in the control group on admission, one and 3 months later	93