CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	3
Review of the literature	4
Chapter I: Atherosclerosis and inflammatory markers	4
Chapter II: Acute coronary syndrome (Unstable angina)	20
Chapter III: Statins	25
Chapter IV: Colchicine	33
Patients and methods	39
Results	44
Discussion	69
Summary	76
Limitation of the study	78
Conclusion and Recommendation	79
Master Sheet	80
References	85
Arabic Summary	

LIST OF Table

Table	Page
Table (A): Braunwald clinical classification of unstable angina, from Braunwald E 1989	24
angma, nom Braunwaid E 1989	
Table (B): Primary prevention trial of statin therapy	30
(C): Secondary prevention trials of statin therapy	31
Table (1): Comparison between two groups as regard	45
demographics, past history	
Table (2): Comparison between the two groups as regards vital data	47
Table (3): Comparison between the two groups as regards	49
Diagnostic tests done on admission	42
Table (4): Comparison between the two groups as regard	51
ECG done on admission.	31
Table (5): Comparison between two groups as regards	52
Echocardiography & EF	
Table (6): Comparison between two groups as regard	53
changes of Canadian Class Score (CCS) on	
admission &after 15 days	
Table (7): Comparison between two groups as regard	54
Canadian Class Score (CCS) after 15 days of	
follow up	
Table (8): Comparison between two groups as regard	55
incidence of complication	5 .c
Table (9): Study of complication occurred in group I	56
Table (10): Study of complication occurred in group II	57 5 0
Table (11): Comparison between two groups as regards	58
complications	
Table (12): Comparison between hs- CRP on admission and	60
2 weeks after in Each group.	
Table (13): Comparison between two groups as regard hs-	61
CRP after 15 days	
Table (14): Comparison between complicated and non	62
complicated patients of both groups as regard	
level of hs-CRP	

List of Figure

Figure	Page
Fig. (A): Markers of inflammation	16
Fig. (B): Schematic of causes of U,A.	20
Fig. (C): Cholchicine Pharmacology	34
Fig. (1): Difference between two groups as regard age	45
Fig. (2): Difference between two groups as regard sex.	46
Fig. (3): Demographics, past history of both groups	46
Fig. (4): Difference between two groups as regard	47
heamodynamics	
Fig. (5): Difference between two groups as regard HS-CRP	50
Fig. (6): Difference between two group as regard EF by	52
ЕСНО	
Fig. (7): Difference between two groups as regard CCS on	54
admission and after 15 days.	
Fig. (8): Difference between two groups as regard incidence	55
of complication	
Fig. (9): Comparison between two groups as regard	58
complication	
Fig. (10): Comparison between two groups as regards short-	59
term mortality	
Fig. (11): Comparison between hs- CRP on admission and 2	60
weeks after in Each group	
Fig. (12): Comparison between the two groups as regards	61
CRP after 2 weeks	
Fig (13): Comparison between complicated and non	62
complicated patients of both groups as regard level	
of hs-CRP	