

m

v  
aFvo

<i>List of content</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>AIM OF THE WORK</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Health care associated infection</b>	<b>4</b>
I- Definition	<b>4</b>
II- pathophysiology	<b>6</b>
III- risk factors for infection transmission	<b>8</b>
IV- blood stream infection	<b>8</b>
<b>Vascular catheters</b>	<b>18</b>
I –introduction	<b>18</b>
II- type of vascular catheters	<b>19</b>
III- complication of venous catheter	<b>21</b>
<b>CATHETER-RELATED INFECTIONS (CRI)</b>	<b>23</b>
I- Definition	<b>24</b>
II-mortality and morbidity	<b>25</b>
III- Causative organisms	<b>25</b>
IV- Pathogenesis of CRIs	<b>26</b>
V- Diagnosis of CRIs	<b>30</b>
A-Clinical diagnosis of CRIs	<b>30</b>
B-laboratory diagnosis of CRIs	<b>31</b>
VI- Strategies for Prevention of CRIs	<b>42</b>
VII- Biofilms and device associated infections	<b>59</b>
<b>PATIENTS AND METHODS</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>94</b>

<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	<b>169</b>

## LIST OF TABLES IN REVIEW OF LITERATURE

<i>Tables No.</i>	<i>list of tables of literature</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>Table 1:</b>	CDC definitions of nosocomial infections.	<b>5</b>
<b>Table 2:</b>	Classification of BSIs.	<b>10</b>
<b>Table 3:</b>	Comorbidities of blood stream infection.	<b>15</b>
<b>Table 4:</b>	Common types of central venous catheter and associated clinical use.	<b>20</b>
<b>Table 5:</b>	Definitions	<b>24</b>
<b>Table 6:</b>	Pathogens causing CRIs and their percentage	<b>26</b>
<b>Table 7:</b>	Major diagnostic methods for intravascular device- related bloodstream infection.	<b>41</b>
<b>Table 8:</b>	Variables important in cell attachment and biofilm formation.	<b>66</b>
<b>Table 9:</b>	Human infections involving biofilms.	<b>70</b>
<b>Table 10:</b>	Medical devices associated with biofilm infections.	<b>72</b>
<b>Table 11:</b>	Methods that have been used for measurement of biofilms on catheters.	<b>74</b>

## LIST OF TABLES IN MATERIAL AND METHOD

<i>Tables No.</i>	<i>list of tables of literature</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b><i>Table 1:</i></b>	Suggested culture media and growth conditions	<b>86</b>

## LIST OF TABLES IN RESULTS

<i>Tables No.</i>	<i>list of tables in results</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>Table 1:</b>	Relation between sex and CRBSI.	<b>94</b>
<b>Table 2:</b>	Incidence of CRBSI with different Indication for applying CVC.	<b>95</b>
<b>Table 3:</b>	CRBSI incidence in relation to CVC insertion site.	<b>96</b>
<b>Table 4:</b>	Duration of catheterization.	<b>97</b>
<b>Table 5:</b>	Incidence of CRBSI with different underlying diseases of the patients.	<b>98</b>
<b>Table 6:</b>	Incidence of CRBSI in different location of insertion of CVC.	<b>99</b>
<b>Table 7:</b>	Different causes of removal of CVC in the studied patients.	<b>100</b>
<b>Table 8:</b>	frequency of CVC colonization in relation to patient. administration of antimicrobial therapy.	<b>101</b>
<b>Table 9:</b>	frequency of CVC colonization in relation to number of lumens of CVC.	<b>102</b>
<b>Table 10:</b>	Frequency of CVC colonization in relation to applying maximal sterile barriers during their insertion in patients.	<b>103</b>
<b>Table 11:</b>	Frequency of CVC colonization in relation to applying daily care of the catheter.	<b>103</b>
<b>Table 12:</b>	Frequency of CVC colonization in relation to type of infusate.	<b>104</b>
<b>Table 13:</b>	The result of different methods used in detection of CRBSI.	<b>105</b>
<b>Table 14:</b>	Comparison between the result of roll plate technique and Pour plate technique.	<b>106</b>
<b>Table 15:</b>	Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), false positive and false negative results of pour plate technique for diagnosis of CRBSI taking roll plate as a reference method.	<b>107</b>

## LIST OF TABLES IN RESULTS

<i>Tables No.</i>	<i>list of tables in results</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>Table 16:</b>	Comparison between the results of roll plate technique and paired quantitative blood culture method.	<b>108</b>
<b>Table 17:</b>	Sensitivity, specificity of paired quantitative blood culture for diagnosis of CRBSI taking roll plate as a reference method:	<b>109</b>
<b>Table 18:</b>	Comparison between the results of roll plate technique and tip flush method.	<b>110</b>
<b>Table 19:</b>	Sensitivity, specificity of tip flush method for diagnosis of CRBSI taking roll plate as a reference method	<b>111</b>
<b>Table 20:</b>	Comparison between the result of roll plate technique and AOLC/G test.	<b>112</b>
<b>Table 21:</b>	Sensitivity, specificity of AOLC for diagnosis of CRBSI taking roll plate as a reference method	<b>113</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES IN REVIEW OF LITERATURE

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>List of figures of literature</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>Fig. (1):</b>	Catheter parts	<b>21</b>
<b>Fig. (2):</b>	Sources of catheter- related infections	<b>27</b>
<b>Fig. (3):</b>	The sequential stages of biofilm development on intravenous catheters	<b>59</b>
<b>Fig. (4)</b>	Scanning electron micrograph of a staphylococcal biofilm on the inner surface of an indwelling medical devices	<b>73</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES IN MATERIAL AND METHODS

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>List of figures in material and methods</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>Fig. (1):</b>		
<b>A-</b>	shows a cytospin machine	<b>89</b>
<b>B-</b>	shows a cytospin cupule	
<b>Fig. (2):</b>	Method for culturing catheter tip.	<b>90</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES IN RESULTS

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>List of figures in results</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>Fig. (1):</b>	Incidence of CRBSI with different indication for applying CVC.	<b>95</b>
<b>Fig. (2):</b>	CRBSI incidence in relation to CVC insertion site.	<b>96</b>
<b>Fig. (3):</b>	Incidence of CRBSI with different underlying diseases of patients.	<b>98</b>
<b>Fig. (4)</b>	Incidence of CRBSI in different location of CVC	<b>99</b>
<b>Fig. (5)</b>	Different causes of removal of CVC in patients.	<b>100</b>
<b>Fig. (6)</b>	Frequency of CVC colonization in relation to number of lumen of CVC.	<b>102</b>
<b>Fig. (7)</b>	Frequency of CVC colonization in relation to type of infusate.	<b>104</b>
<b>Fig. (8)</b>	Results of different methods used in detection of CRBSI	<b>105</b>
<b>Fig. (9)</b>	Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPP of pour plate technique	<b>107</b>
<b>Fig. (10)</b>	Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPP of paired quantitative blood culture.	<b>109</b>
<b>Fig. (11)</b>	Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPP of tip flush method.	<b>111</b>
<b>Fig. (12)</b>	Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPP of AOLC/G test.	<b>113</b>
<b>Fig. (13)</b>	Shows positive results of roll plate technique as the plate shows number of colony >15.	<b>114</b>
<b>Fig. (14)</b>	Shows positive results of tip flush method as the plate shows number of colony >100.	<b>114</b>



<b><i>Fig. (15)</i></b>	Shows positive results of pour plate technique as the plate shows number of colony >1000.	<b>115</b>
<b><i>Fig. (16)</i></b>	Shows positive results of paired quantitative blood culture method as the plate in picture (A) shows number of bacteria in the sample of blood which collected from theCVCs is 5 folds higher than the number of bacteria in the sample of blood which collected from peripheral vein as shown in picture (B).	<b>116</b>
<b><i>Fig. (17)</i></b>	Shows positive results of AOLC test as it shows short bacilli stained bright orange against green back ground.	<b>117</b>
<b><i>Fig. (18)</i></b>	Shows positive results of AOLC test as it shows cocci stained bright orange against green back ground.	<b>117</b>

**LIST OF FIGURES IN RESULTS**

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>List of figures in results</i>	<i>Page</i>
<i>Fig. (16)</i>		
<i>Fig. (17)</i>		
<i>Fig. (18)</i>		