CONTENTS

	Title		Page		
1.	Introducti	on	1		
2.	Objectives of study				
3.	Review of	Literature	5		
		Relevant information concerning Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.	6		
		Historical aspects			
		Heredity and basic genetics			
		Evidence for a genetic etiology			
		Segregation models and modes of inheritance			
		Autosomal recessive inheritance			
	_	Highlights on consanguinity research	22		
4.	Materials	and Methods	32		
	-	Study area	. 33		
	_	Sampling			
	_	Sample size			
	_	Questionnaire design			
		Conduct of interviews			
		Pilot study			
		Phases of the study			
5.	Results		40		
	-	Demographic pattern of the study samples			
	-	Consanguinity rates			
	-	Respondent's preference for type of marriage	45		
	-	Views of respondent's concerning future marriage of their their children			
	-	Knowledge of respondents concerning influence of C.Ms. in	1		
		causation of inherited disorders			
	-	Adequacy of Public Health Education			
	_	Premarital counseling			
	-	Islamic teaching.	- 48		
	_	Average number of pregnancies/woman			
		Reproductive wastage			
	_	Congenital disorders	_		
	_				
		Other diseases which have genetical association			
6.	Discussion 93				
7.	Conclusions and Recommendations 100				
8.	Summary 11				
9.	References 110				
10.	Appendix.		- 121		
	<u> </u>	Questionnaire			
	-	Olugodiy.	- 126		

TABLES

Revie	w Tables.	Page
Table	I	
Deve1	opment of Health Services in Saudi Arabia	9
Table	II	
Tota1	estimated population	10
Table	III	
Model famil	s for the genetic analysis of autosomal traits with	17
Table	es for Results.	
Tabl	Le Item	Page
1.	Distribution of respondents according to primary health care centres and sex.	53
2:•	Distribution of respondents according to the place of origin within the Kingdom	54
3.	Age distribution of the sample investigated	56
4.	Distribution of age - sex and type of marriage	58
5.	Level of education/sex	60
6.	Distribution of the respondents according to level of education and type of marriage	62
7.	Occupational distribution	63
8.	Marital distribution	64
9.	Temporal trend in rate of C.Ms	- 65
10.	Consanguinity by age of respondents	- 66
11.	Average in-breeding coefficient (F) for the Riyadh study.	. 67
12.	Respondents preference for type of marriage (C.M. or non-C.Ms.)	- - 68
13.	Respondent's preference for C.M. categorized according to type of marriage	- 70
14.	Reasons given for preference for C.Ms	- 71
15.	Reasons given for not preferring C.Ms	- 72

Table	Item	Page
16.	Would you encourage your children to marry a relative?	73
17.	Knowledge of respondents concerning influence of C.Ms. in causation of inherited disorders	75
18.	Respondents evaluation of the adequacy of public health education with respect to adverse effects of C.Ms	77
19.	Variation in respondent's answers related to their type of marriage	79
20.	Knowledge of importance of premarital counseling according to type of marriage	80
21.	Knowledge about Islamic teaching with respect to consanguineous marriages	81
22.	Type of marriage and the average number of pregnancies/ woman with respect to age groups	82
23(A).	Comparison of average birth weight of 286 offsprings grouped according to parental relationships	83
24(B).	Percentiles for the distribution of birth weight	84
24(A).	Prenatal deaths and parental consanguinity	85
24(B).	Neo-natal deaths and total wastage with respect to parental consanguinity.	87
25.	Congenital and heredofamilial disorders	89
26.	Other disorders which have genetical associations	91

.