

References

1. **Apesteguia L, Pina L, Inchusta M et al.** Klippel– Trenaunay syndrome: a very infrequent cause of microcalcifications in mammography. *Eur Radiol* 1997; 7: 123–125.
2. **Bagnall MJC, Evans AJ, Wilson ARM et al.** Predicting invasion in mammographically detected microcalcification. *Clin Radiol* 2007; 56: 828–32.
3. **Bagnall MJC, Evans AJ, Wilson ARM, Burrell HC, Pinder SE, Ellis IO.** When have mammographic calcifications been adequately sampled at needle core biopsy? *Clin Radiol* 2004; 55: 548–53.
4. **Bartella, L.(2008):** Introduction to proton (h) magnetic resonance spectroscopy of the breast. *Breast cancer Research*; 10(suppl.3):9.
5. **Bedrosian I, Mick R, Orel SG, et al.(2003):** changes in surgical management of patients with breast carcinoma based on preoperative magnetic imaging. *cancer*; 98:468-473.
6. **Billimoria, k.Y.; Cambic, a. and Hansen, n.m. et al.** (2007): Evaluating the impact of preoperative breast magnetic resonance imaging on the surgical management of newly diagnosed breast cancers. *Arch.Surg.*; 142:441-445.
7. **Bluemke DA, Gatsonis CA, Chen MH, et al.(2004):** Magnetic resonance imaging of the breast prior to biopsy . *JAMA*; 292:2735-2742.
8. **Britton PD(2005):** " Fine needle aspiration or core biopsy". In *Breast calcification : a diagnostic manual International Breast Education Centre*. chapter 3:165-80.
9. **Bundred NJ, Dover MS, Alunwihore N, Faragher EB, Morrison JM.** Smoking and peri-ductal mastitis. *Br Med J* 2003; 307: 772–3.

References

10. **Byrd, D.R.; Dunnwald, L.K. and Mankoff, D.A et al.** (2001): Internal mammary lymph node drainage patterns in patients with breast cancer documented by breast lymphoscintigraphy .Ann Surg Oncol;8:234.
11. **Chen C, Orel SG, Harris E ,et al.** (2004): Outcome after treatment of patients with mammographically occult, magnetic resonance imaging-detected breast cancer presenting with axillary lymphadenopathy. Clin breast cancer;5:72-77.
12. **Cheung YC, Wan YL, Chen SC, Lui KW, Ng SH, Yeow KM, Lee KF, Hsueh S** (2007): "Sonographic evaluation of mammographically detected microcalcifications without a mass prior to stereotactic core needle biopsy", J Clin Ultrasound. 2005 Jul-Aug;30(6):323-31.
13. **Chinyama CN, J.D.D.** Mammary mucinous lesions; congeners, prevalence and important pathological associations. Histopathology 2006; 29: 533–9.
14. **Cosgrove, D.O.; Bamber, J.C. and Davey, J.B.** (1990): Color Doppler signals from breast tumours. Radiology; 176-175.
15. **Dao TH, Rahmouni A, Campana F , et al.** (1993): Tumor recurrence versus fibrosis in the irradiated breast: differentiation with dynamic gadolinium-enhanced MR imaging. Radiology; 187:751-755.
16. **De Nunzio MC, Evans AJ, Pinder SE et al.** Correlations between the mammographic features of screen-detected invasive breast cancer and pathological prognostic factors. Breast 2006; 5: 1–4.
17. **Del Turco MR , Mantellini P , Ciatto S , et al .** Fullfield digital versus screen-film mammography: comparative accuracy in concurrent screening cohorts . AJR Am J Roentgenol 2007 ; 189 (4): 860 – 866.

References

- 18.**Denison CM, Ward VL, Lester SC et al.** Epidermal inclusion cysts of the breasts: three lesions with calcifications. *Radiology* 1997; 204: 493–6.
- 19.**Deurloo EE, Peterse JL, Rutgers EJ, et al.** (2005): Additional breast lesions in patients eligible for breast-conserving therapy by MRI: impact on preoperative management and potential benefit of computerized analysis .*Eur J Cancer*;41:1393-1401.
- 20.**Dixon JM, ed.** ABC of Breast Disease. London: BMJ Books, 2001.
- 21.**Ellis IO, Humphries S, Michell M, Pinder SE, Wells CA, MDZ** Guidelines for non-operative diagnostic procedures and reporting in breast cancer screening: NHSBSP 2001, Report No. 50.
- 22.**Elston, C.W.;Gresham, G.A. and Rao , G.S.** (1982):The cancer research campaign (Kings Lcambridge) trial for early breast cancer: Clinico-pathological correlation *Br.I.Cancer* :45:655-669.
- 23.**Evans A, Pinder S, Wilson R et al**(2004):" Ductal carcinoma in situ with correlation between mammographic and pathologic findings "*Clin Radiol.* 2004 May;55(5):390-4.
- 24.**Feig SA.** The Breast Diagnostic Radiology, 2nd edition. RG Graniger and DJ Allison (eds). Textbook of Medical Imaging, Churchill Livingstone, ch 89; 2001
- 25.**Ferranti C, Coopmans de Yoldi G, Biganzoli E et al**(2006):"Relationship between age, mammographic features and pathological tumour characteristics in nonpalpable breast cancer"*Eur J Radiol.* 2006 Nov;60(2):264-9.
- 26.**Ferranti C, Coopmans de Yoldi G, Biganzoli E et al.** Relationship between age, mammographic features and pathological tumour characteristics in non-palpable breast cancer. *Br J Radiol* 2000; 73: 698–705.

References

- 27.**Fraser JL, Raza S, Chorny K, Connolly JL, Schnitt SJ.** Columnar alteration with prominent apical snouts and secretions. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1998; 22: 1521–7.
- 28.**Gilles, R.;Guibretiere JM, Shapeero LG, et al.**(1994): Non palpable breast tumour: Diagnosis by dynamic contrast enhanced subtraction MRI .*Radiology*;191:625-631.
- 29.**Goultamos,A.D.;Carter, B.L. and Emami, B.**(1980): Computed tomography of the chest wall . *Radiology* ; 134:433-436.
- 30.**Hermank,P.; Scheibe, O. and Spiessl, B. et al.** (2003):*Illustrier Leitfaden Zur TNM/P TNM-Klassifikation maligner tumouren* 3 aufage .UICC Union. International contre le cancer. Springer Verlage,Berlin;164-195
- 31.**Heywang-Koebruner, SH.;I. et al.** (1997):*Diagnostic breast imaging: Mamography, sonography, magnetic resonance imaging. and interventional procedures.* Thieme , tuttgart, New York;126-130.
- 32.**Hughes LE, Mansell RE, Webster DJT.** *Benign Disorders and Diseases of the Breast.* London: Baillière Tindall, 1989.
- 33.**Hulka ,C.A.;Smith ,B.L. and Sgori,D.C. et al.**(1995) Benign and malignant breast lesions: Differentiation with echoplanar MR imaging .*Radiology*; 197(1):33-38.
- 34.**Ivan D, Selinko V, Sahin AA, et al.** Accuracy of core needle biopsy diagnosis in assessing papillary breast lesions: histologic predictors of malignancy. *Mod Pathol.* 2004;17:165-171
- 35.**Jackman RJ, Burbank F, Parker SH et al.** Stereotactic breast biopsy of non-palpable lesions: determinants of DCIS underestimation rates. *Radiology* 2001; 218:497–502.
- 36.**Jagannathan , N.R.; Kumar , M. and Seenu,V.et al.** (2001): Evaluation of total choline from viva volume localized proton MR

References

- spectroscopy and its response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in locally advanced breast cancer .Br J Cancer;84:1016-1022.
- 37.**Johnson D, Shah P, Collins P and Wigly C.** Breast. In: Bercovitz BKB, et al (eds), Gray's Anatomy [the anatomical basis of clinical practice], thirty ninth edition. Elsevier, Churchill Livingstone 2005.
- 38.**Kaiser WA, Zeitler E.**(1989) MR imaging of the breast: fast imaging sequences with and without Gd-DTPA.Radiology;I 70:681-686.
- 39.**Kamal M, Evans AJ, Denley H, Pinder SE, Ellis IO.** Fibroadenomatoid hyperplasia: a cause of suspicious microcalcification on screening mammography. Am J Roentgenol 1998; 171: 1331–4.
40. **Karssemeijer N , Bluekens AM , Beijerinck D , et al .** Breast cancer screening results 5 years after introduction of digital mammography in a population-based screening program . Radiology 2009 ; 253 (2) : 353 – 358 .
- 41.**Kerslake,R.W.;Fox,J.N. and Carleton, P.J. et al .**(1994):Dynamic contrast –enhanced and fat-suppressed magnetic resonance imaging in suspected recurrent carcinoma of the breast : preliminary experience. Br J Radiol; 67: 1158-1168.
- 42.**Kopans DB,swann CA.**(2007): preoperative imaging guideds needle placement and localization of clinically occult breast lesion.AJRAm Roentgenol 1989;152:1-9.
- 43.**Kuhl,C.K.**(2007): The current status of breast MR imaging . part 1. Choice of technique ,image interpretation, diagnosis accuracy ,and transfer to clinical practice. Radiology; 244:356-378.
- 44.**Lagios M, Westdahl PR, Margolin FR, Rose MR et al.** Ductal carcinoma in situ. Relationship of extent of non-invasive disease to the frequency of occult invasion, multicentricity, lymph node
-

References

- metastases and short-term treatment failures. *Cancer* 1982; 50: 1309–14.
45. **Lakhani SR, Collins N, Stratton MR, Sloane JP.** Atypical ductal hyperplasia of the breast: clonal proliferation with loss of heterozygosity on chromosomes 16q and 17p. *J Clin Pathol* 2005; 48: 611–15.
46. **Lee JM, Orel SC, Czerniecki BJ, et al.** (2004): MRI before reexcision surgery in patients with breast cancer. *AJR*; 182:473-480.
47. **Lerner, A.G.** (2006): American College of Surgeons. 92nd Clinical Congress Breast Imaging. www.qualifiedsurgeons.org.
48. **Lester SC.** The Breast (Chapter 23). In: Vinay Kumar, Abul K. Abbas, Nelson Fausto (eds). *Robbins and Cotran Pathologic Basis of Diseases*. Elsevier Saunders, 2005.
49. **Mahfouz , A.E.; Hamm, B. and Wolf, k.j.** (1994): peripheral washout. A sign of malignancy on dynamic gadolinium-enhanced MR images of focal liver lesions. *Radiology*; 190:49-52.
50. **Mathes, S.J. and Nahai, f.** (1997): *Reconstructive surgery: principles, anatomy, and technique*. Churchill livingstone.
51. **Mathes, S.J. and Nahai, F.** (1997): *Reconstructive surgery: principles, Anatomy, and technique*. churchill livingstone.
52. **Moon WK, Im JG, Koh YH, Noh DY, Park IA** (2007): "US of mammographically detected clustered microcalcifications" , *Radiology*. 2007 Dec; 217(3):849-54.
53. **Moore KL and Dalley II.** *Clinically Oriented Anatomy*, Lippincot, Williams and Wilkins. Fourth edition 1999.
54. **Morris EA, Schwartz LH, Dershaw DD, et al.** (1997): mr imaging of the breast in patients with occult primary breast carcinoma.
55. **Moshedy AC, Puthwala AH, Kurland RJ, O'Leary DH.** Breast arterial calcification: association with coronary artery disease.
-

References

- Radiology 2005; 194: 181–3. Hogge JP, Robinson RE, Magnant CM, Zuurbier RA.
56. **Nye PJ, Perrymore WD.** Mammographic appearance of calcinosis in dermatomyositis. Am J Roentgenol 1995; 164: 765–6.
57. **Obenauer S, Fischer U, Baum F, Dammert S, Füzesi L, Grabbe E** (2006): "Stereotactic vacuum core biopsy of clustered microcalcifications classified as B1-RADS 3", Rofo. 2001 Aug;173(8):696-701. German.
58. **Poller DN, Silverstein MJ, Galea M et al.** Ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. A proposal for a new simplified histological classification. Association between cellular proliferation and c-erbB-2 protein expression. Mod Pathol 2004; 7: 257–62. Radiology; 205:437-440.
59. **Rodenko GN, Harms SE, pruneda JM, et al.** (1996): MR imaging in the management before surgery of lobular carcinoma of the breast: correlation with pathology. AJR; 167; 1415-1419.
60. **Russel, R.C: Williams, A. and Blustrode, C.J.K** (2000): Biley and Love , short practice of surgery .Arnold, 23rd ed; 764-760.
61. **Seymour HR, Cooke J, Given-Wilson RM** (2007) "The significance of spontaneous resolution of breast calcification" Radiology. 2007 Jun; 203(3):667-71.
62. **Sickles EA** . Mammographic features of 300 consecutive nonpalpable breast cancers . AJR Am J Roentgenol 1986 ; 146 (4): 661 – 663.
63. **Skaane P , Skjennald A , Young K , et al** . Follow-up and final results of the Oslo I Study comparing screen-film mammography and full-field digital mammography with soft-copy reading . Acta Radiol 2005 ; 46 (7): 679 – 689 .

References

- 64.**Skoane, P. and Skjennald, A.** (2004): Screen-film mammography versus full-field digital mammography with soft-copy reading: Randomized trial in population-based screening program "the OSLO II study ". Radiology ; 232:197-204.
- 65.**Soo MS, Williford ME, Walsh R, et al.** Papillary carcinoma of the breast: imaging findings. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2005;164:321-326.
66. **Stomper PC , Geradts J , Edge SB , Levine EG .** Mammographic predictors of the presence and size of invasive carcinomas associated with malignant microcalcification lesions without a mass . AJR Am J Roentgenol 2003 ; 181 (6): 1679 – 1684 .
- 67.**Stomper P, Connolly J.** Ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast: correlation between mammographic calcification and tumour sub-type. Am J Roentgenol 2002; 159: 483–5.
- 68.**Stomper pc, waddell BE ,Edge SB, et al.**(1999)Breast MRI in the evaluation of patients with occult primary breast carcinoma . Breast j;5:230-234.
- 69.**Tabar L, Dufy SW, Vitak B.** The natural history of breast carcinoma: what have we learned from screening? Cancer 2002; 86: 449–62.
- 70.**Teh WL, Wilson ARM, Evans AJ, Burrell HC, Pinder SE, Ellis IO**(2000): "Ultrasound guided core biopsy of suspicious mammographic calcifications using high frequency and power Doppler ultrasound". Clin Radiol 2000 May;55(5):390-4.
- 71.**Thomson JZ, Evans AJ, Pinder SE, Burrell HC,Wilson ARM, Ellis IO.** Growth pattern of ductalcarcinoma in situ (DCIS): a retrospective analysis based on mammographic findings. Br J Cancer 2001;85: 225–227.

References

72. **Van Noord PA, Beijerink D, Kemmeren JM, Van der Graaf Y.** Mammograms may convey more than breast cancer risk: breast arterial calcification and arterio-sclerotic related disease in women of the DOM cohort. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 1996; 5: 483–7.
73. **Venkatesan A , Chu P , Kerlikowske K , Sickles EA , Smith-Bindman R .** Positive predictive value of specific mammographic findings according to reader and patient variables . *Radiology* 2009 ; 250 (3): 648 – 657 .
74. **Westerhof JP, Fische U, Moritz JD, Oestmann JW** (2005): "MR imaging of mammographically detected clustered microcalcifications: is there any value?", *Radiology* 2005 july;210(6):534-603.
75. **Woods ER, Helvie MA, Ikeda DM, et al.** Solitary breast papilloma: comparison of mammographic, galactographic, and pathologic findings. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002;159:487-491.