

References.

Abitbol O, Bouden J, Doan S, Hoang-Xuan T, Gatinel D , Corneal hysteresis measured with the Ocular Response Analyzer in normal and glaucomatous eyes. *Acta Ophthalmol.* Nov 2009.

Anand A, De Moraes CG, Teng CC . Corneal hysteresis and visual field asymmetry in open angle glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010;51:6514e18

Andrew T, Hart D, Snieder H, de Lange M, Spector T, MacGregor A. Are twins and singletons comparable? A study of disease-related and lifestyle characteristics in adult women. *Twin Res*, 2001, pp. 4:464 –477.

Ang GS, Bochmann F, Townend J, Azuara-Blanco A. Corneal biomechanical properties in primary open angle glaucoma and normal tension glaucoma. *J Glaucoma.* 2008; 17(4):259-62.

Bochmann F, Ang GS, Azuara-Blanco A. Lower corneal hysteresis in glaucoma patients with acquired pit of the optic nerve (APON). *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2008; 246(5):735-38.

Brandt J. Corneal thickness in glaucoma screening, diagnosis, and management. *Curr Opinion Ophthalmol*, 2004, pp. 15:85–89. .

Bordon AF, Katsumi O, Hirose T. Tonometry in pediatric patients: a comparative study among Tono-Pen, Perkins and Schiotz tonometers. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus* 1995; 32:373–377.

Brandt J, Beiser J, Kass M, Gordon M. Central corneal thickness in the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study (OHTS). *Ophthalmology*, 2001, pp. 108:1779 –1788.

Brandt JD, Beiser JA, Gordon MO . Central corneal thickness and measured IOP response to topical ocular hypotensive medication in the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2004;138:717e22

Broman A, Congdon N, Bandeen-Roche K, Quigley H. Influence of corneal structure, corneal responsiveness, and other ocular parameters on tonometric measurement of IOP. *J Glaucoma*, 2007, pp. 16(7):581-588.

Brook J, Mc-Currach M, Harley H, Buckler A, Church D, Aburatani H, Hunter K, Stanton V, Thirion J, Hudson T, John R. Molecular basis of myotonic dystrophy: Expansion of a trinucleotide (CTG) repeat at the 3' end of transcript encoding a protein kinase family member. *Cell*, 1992, pp. 68:799–808.

Brown K, Congdon N. *Corneal structure and biomechanics: impact on the diagnosis and management of glaucoma*. 2006, *Curr opin ophth*, pp. 17(4): 338-343.

Burgoyne CF, Downs JC, Bellezza AJ . The optic nerve head as a biomechanical structure: a new paradigm for understanding the role of IOP-related stress and strain in the pathophysiology of glaucomatous optic nerve head damage. *Prog Retin Eye Res* 2005 Jan;24(1):39-73.

Buzard K. Introduction to biomechanics of the cornea. *Refract Corneal Surg*, 1992, pp. 8:127-138.

Castellano, Pepose J. Corneal biomechanical properties in normal, post-laser in situ keratomileusis, and keratoconic eyes. *J Cat Ref Surg*, 2007, pp. 33(8):1371-1375.

Congdon N, Broman A, Bandeen-Roche K, Quigley H. Central corneal thickness and corneal hysteresis associated with glaucoma damage. *Am J Ophthalmol*, 2006, pp. 141:868 –875.

Cristóbal J, Ascaso F, Lavilla L, Lanchares E. Biomechanical properties of the cornea in Fuchs' corneal dystrophy. *J Cat Ref Surg*, 2009, pp. 50(7):3199-3202.

Damien G, Slim C, Pierre-Alexandre A, Jacques M, Michel P, Thanh H. Corneal hysteresis, resistance factor, topography, and pachymetry after corneal lamellar flap. *J Refract Surg*, 2007, pp. 23:76-84.

Daniel V. Litwiller , Sung J. Lee, Arunark Kolipaka, Yogesh K. Mariappan, Kevin J. Glaser, Jose S. Pulido, Richard L. Ehman. MR elastography of the ex vivo bovine globe. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 2010, pp. [HYPERLINK "http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jmri.v32:1/issuetoc"](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jmri.v32:1/issuetoc) 32(1): 44–51.

Daxer A, Misof K, Grabner B . Collagen fibrils in the human corneal stroma: structure and aging. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1998; 39:644-48.

Dayanir V, Sakarya R, Ozcura F, Kir E, Aktunc T, Ozkan B, Okyay P. Effect of corneal drying on central corneal thickness. *J Glaucoma*, 2004, pp. 13:6–8.

- Dolores O, David P, Mohamed H, Francisco A, Jorge L.** Corneal biomechanical properties in normal, post-laser in situ keratomileusis, and keratoconic eyes. *J Cat Ref Surg*, 2007, pp. 33:1371-1375.
- Doughty M, Zaman M.** Corneal thickness and its impact on intraocular pressure measures. A review and meta-analysis approach. *Surv Ophthalmol*, 2000, pp. 44:367–408.
- Dupps W, Roberts C.** Effect of acute corneal biomechanical changes on corneal curvature after photokeratectomy. *J Refract Surg*, 2001, pp. 17:658-669.
- Dupps W.** Biomechanical modeling of corneal ectasia. *J Refract Surg*, 2005, pp. 21:186–190.
- Dupps W, Wilson S.** Biomechanics and wound healing in the cornea. *Exp Eye Res*, 2006, pp. 83:709-720.
- Du Toit R, Vega JA, Fonn D, Simpson T.** Diurnal variation in corneal sensitivity and thickness. *Cornea*, 2003, pp. 22:205–209.
- Edmund C.** Corneal rigidity and ocular rigidity in normal and keratoconic eyes. *Acta Ophthalmol* 1988;66:134–40.
- Elsheikh A, Wang D, Brown M, Rama P, Campanelli M, Pye D.** Assessment of corneal biomechanical properties and their variation with age. *Curr Eye Res*, 2007, pp. 32:11–19.
- Fan L, Suzhong X, Jia Q, Meixiao S, Xiaoxing W, Haizhen F, Jianhua W.** Central Corneal Thickness and Corneal Hysteresis During Corneal Swelling Induced by Contact Lens Wear With Eye Closure. *Am J Ophthalmol*, 2007, pp. 143:616–622.
- Fischbarg J, Maurice DM.** An update on corneal hydration control. *Exp Eye Res* 2004; 78:537-41.
- Francis C, Toby A, David A, Tim D, Chris J.** The heritability of corneal hysteresis and ocular pulse amplitude. *Ophthalmology*, 2008, pp. 115:1545–1549.
- Friedenwald JS.** Contribution to the theory and practice of tonometry. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1937; 20:985-1024.
- Garcia-Resua C, Gonzalez-Meijome JM, Gilino J, Yebra- Pimental E.** Accuracy of the new ICare rebound tonometer vs other portable tonometers in healthy eyes. *Optom Vis Sci* 2006;83:102–107.

Goldich Y, Barkana Y, Gerber Y, Rasko A, Morad Y, Harstein M, Avni I, Zadok D. Effect of diabetes mellitus on biomechanical parameters of the cornea. J Cat Ref Surg (abstract), 2009, pp. 35:715–719.

Goldmann H, Schmidt T. Applanation tonometry .International journal of ophthalmology,1957;134:221–242.

Gordon MO, Beiser JA, Brandt JD . The Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study: baseline factors that predict the onset of primary open-angle glaucoma. Arch Ophthalmol 2002 Jun;120(6):714-20.

Gunvant P, O’Leary DJ, Baskaran M . Evaluation of tonometric correction factors. J Glaucoma 2005;14:337e43.

Hafezi F. Smoking and corneal biomechanics. Ophthalmology, 2009, p. 116(11):2259.

Hager A, Loge K, Fullhas MO . Changes in corneal hysteresis after clear corneal cataract surgery. Am J Ophthalmol 2007;144:341–346.

Hager A, Schroeder B, Sadeghi M, Großherr M, Wiegand W. The influence of corneal hysteresis and corneal resistance factor on the measurement of intraocular pressure. Ophthalmologe, 2007, pp. 104:484-489.

Hager A, Wegscheider K, Wiegand W. Changes of extracellular matrix of the cornea in diabetes mellitus. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol (abstract), 2009, pp. 247(10):1369–1374.

Hong S, Kim C, Seong G, Hong Y. Central corneal thickness and visual field progression in patients with chronic primary angle-closure glaucoma with low intraocular pressure. Am J Ophthalmol, 2007, pp. 143:362–363.

Jeremy E. Levenson, Corneal edema: Cause and treatment. Ophthalmologica,1975, pp. 20(3)190-204.

Jonas J, Berenshtein E, Holbach L. Lamina cribrosa thickness and spatial relationships between intraocular space and cerebrospinal fluid space in highly myopic eyes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2004, pp. 45:2660 –2665.

Johnson CS, Mian SI, Moroi S, et al. Role of corneal elasticity in damping intraocular pressure. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2007 Jun;45(6):2540-4.

Kamiya K, Hagishima M, Fujimura F . Factors affecting corneal hysteresis in normal eyes. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2008; 246(10):1491-94.

Kamiya K, Shimizu K, Ohmoto F. Effect of aging on corneal biomechanical parameters using the ocular response analyzer. *J Refract Surg* 2009;25:888e93.

Kaufmann C, Bachmann L, Robert Y, Thiel M. Ocular pulse amplitude in healthy subjects as measured by dynamic contour tonometry. *Arch Ophthalmol*, 2006, pp. 124:1104–1108.

Kerautret J, Colin J, Touboul D, Roberts C. Biomechanical characteristics of the ectatic cornea. *J Cat Ref Surg*, 2008, pp. 34(3):510-513.

Kida T, Liu J, Weinreb R. Effect of 24-hour corneal biomechanical changes on intraocular pressure measurement. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*, 2006, pp. 47:4422–4426.

Kida T, Liu JH, Weinreb RN. Effects of aging on corneal biomechanical properties and their impact on 24-hour measurement of intraocular pressure. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2008; 146(4):567-72.

Kirwan C, O’Keefe M, Lanigan B. Corneal hysteresis and intraocular pressure measurement in children using the Reichert ocular response analyzer. *Am J Ophthalmol*, 2006, pp. 142:990 –992.

Kirwan C, O’Malley D, O’Keefe M. Corneal hysteresis and corneal resistance factor in keratoectasia: Findings using the Reichert ocular response analyzer. *Ophthalmologica*, 2008, pp. 222:334–337.

Kolipaka, Yogesh K. Mariappan, Kevin J. Glaser, Jose S. Pulido, Richard L. Ehman. MR elastography of the ex vivo bovine globe. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 2010, pp. [HYPERLINK"http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jmri.v32:1/issuetoc"](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jmri.v32:1/issuetoc) 32(1): 44–51.

Komai Y, Ushiki T. The three-dimensional organization of collagen fibrils in the human cornea and sclera. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1991; 32:2244-58.

Kotecha A, Elsheikh A, Roberts CR, Zhu H, Garway-Heath D. Corneal thickness- and age-related biomechanical properties of the cornea measured with the ocular response analyzer. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*, 2006, pp. 47:5337

Kynigopoulos M, Schlote T, Kotecha A, Tzamalīs A, Pajic B, Haefliger I : Repeatability of intraocular pressure and corneal biomechanical properties measurements by the ocular response analyser. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd*. 2008 May;225(5):357-60.

Laiquzzaman M, Bhojwani R, Cunliffe I, Shah S. Diurnal variation of ocular hysteresis in normal subjects: relevance in clinical context. Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2006; 34:114–18.

Lam A, Chen D, Chiu R, Chiu WS. Comparison of IOP measurements between ORA and GAT in normal Chinese. Optom Vis Sci 2007 Sep;S4(9):909-14.

Lapshin R. Analytical model for the approximation of hysteresis loop and its application to the scanning tunneling microscope. Review of Scientific Instruments. American Institute of Physics, 1995, pp. 66(9):4718-4730.

Lei S, Meixiao S, Jianhua W, Aiwu F, Aiqin X, Haizhen F, Fan L. Recovery of corneal hysteresis after reduction of intraocular pressure in chronic primary angle-closure glaucoma. Am J Ophth, 2009, pp. 147:1061–1066.

Leske MC, Heijl A, Hyman L . Predictors of long-term progression in the early manifest glaucoma trial. Ophthalmology 2007;114:1965e72.

Leslie Goldberg. The Ocular Response Analyzer. Ophthalmology Management, 2005, pp. 93: 93-95.

Levy J, Lifshitz T, Rosen S, Tessler Z, Biedner BZ. Is the Tono-Pen accurate for measuring intraocular pressure in young children with congenital glaucoma? J AAPOS 2005;9: 321–325.

Luce DA. Determining in-vivo biomechanical properties of the cornea with an ocular response analyser. J Refract Cataract Surg 2005;31: 156–62.

Luce DA, Taylor D : Ocular response analyzer provides new indicators for corneal specialties and glaucoma management. March,2006.

Luce D, Taylor D. Reichert Ocular Response Analyzer measures corneal biomechanical properties and IOP: provides new indicators for corneal specialties and glaucoma management. Ocular Response Analyzer White Paper. Available at: <http://www.ocularresponseanalyzer.com/downloads.html>. Accessed March 2009.

Luce D, Taylor D. Reichert ocular response analyzer measures corneal biomechanical properties and IOP provides new indicators for corneal specialties and glaucoma management. Depew, NY, 2006.

Lu F, Xu S, Qu J . Central corneal thickness and corneal hysteresis during corneal swelling induced by contact lens wear with eye closure. Am J Ophthalmol 2007; 143:616–22.

- Malik NS, Moss SJ, Ahmed N .** Ageing of the human corneal stroma: structural and biochemical changes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1992; 1138:222-28.
- Marshall J.** The promise of wavefront-guided laser ablation will not be fully realized until researchers gain a more complete understanding of corneal biomechanics. *J Cat Ref Surg*, 2007, pp. 14:46-52.
- Martinez-de-la-Casa J, Garcia-Feijoo J, Fernandez-Vidal A, Mendez-Hernandez C, Garcia-Sanchez J.** Ocular response analyzer vs Goldmann applanation tonometry for intraocular pressure measurements. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*, 2006, pp. 47:4410–4414. .
- Maurice D.** The cornea and sclera. [book auth.] Davson H. *The Eye*. 3rd ed. New York : Academic Press, 1984, p. 1:158.
- Maurice DM:** Mechanics of the cornea, in Cavanagh DH (ed). *The Cornea: Transactions of the World Congress on the Cornea III*. New York, NY, Raven Press Ltd, 1988 PP. 187-93.
- Medeiros FA, Weinreb RN.** Evaluation of the influence of corneal biomechanical properties on intraocular pressure measurements using the ocular response analyzer. *J Glaucoma* 2006; 15:364–70.
- Meek KM, Blamires T, Elliott GF .** The organisation of collagen fibrils in the human corneal stroma: a synchrotron X-ray diffraction study. *Curr Eye Res* 1987; 6:841-46.
- Miguel A, Bolívar, Jorge L, Lipshitz Isaac.** Short-Term Effect of Topical Dorzolamide Hydrochloride on Intrastromal Corneal Pressure in Rabbit Corneas In Vivo. *Cornea*, 2009, pp. 28 (2): 206-210.
- Mitchell P, Smith W, Attebo K, Healey P.** Prevalence of open-angle glaucoma in Australia. The Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Ophthalmology*, 1996, pp. 103:1661–1669.
- Moreno-Montañés J, Maldonado MJ, García N, Mendiluce L, García-Gómez PJ, Seguí-Gómez M.** Reproducibility and Clinical Relevance of the Ocular Response Analyzer in Nonoperated Eyes: Corneal Biomechanical and Tonometric Implications. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2008; 49(3):968-74.
- Muller LJ, Pels E, Vrensen GF.** The specific architecture of the anterior stroma accounts for maintenance of corneal curvature. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2001; 85:437-43.

Newton RH, Meek KM. The integration of the corneal and limbal fibrils in the human eye. *Biophys J* 1998; 75:2508-12.

Nicola R, Michele L, Maria B, Alberto P, Grazia G, Luisa P. Intraocular Pressure and Corneal Biomechanical Properties in Patients with Myotonic Dystrophy. *Ophthalmology*, 2009, pp. 116:231–234.

Noecker RS, Dirks MS, Choplin NT . A six-month randomized clinical trial comparing the intraocular pressure-lowering efficacy of bimatoprost and latanoprost in patients with ocular hypertension or glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2003;135:55e63.

Nomura H, Ando F, Niino N, Shimokata H, Miyake Y. The relationship between intraocular pressure and refractive error adjusting for age and central corneal thickness. *Ophthalmol Physiol Opt*, 2004, pp. 24:41– 45.

Oncel B, Dinc U, Orge F , Yalvac B : Comparison of IOP measurement by ocular response analyzer, dynamic contour, Goldmann applanation, and noncontact tonometry. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2009 Nov-Dec;19.

Ortiz D, Pinero D, Shabayek MH . Corneal biomechanical properties in normal, post-laser in situ keratomileusis, and keratoconic eyes. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2007;33:1371–5.

Pepose J, Sanderson J, Qazi M. How should we measure IOP following LASIK? *Cat Ref Surg today*, 2005, pp. 2-4.

Pepose JS, Feigenbaum SK, Qazi MA . Changes in corneal biomechanics and intraocular pressure following LASIK using static, dynamic, and noncontact tonometry. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2007 Jan;143(1):39-47.

Pepose J, Susan K, Qazi M, Sanderson J, Roberts C. Changes in corneal biomechanics and intraocular pressure following LASIK using static, dynamic, and noncontact tonometry. *Am J Ophthalmol*, 2007, pp. 30(3):425-434.

Quigley HA, Broman AT. The number of people with glaucoma worldwide in 2010 and 2020. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2006;90:262e7.

Reichert Ocular response analyzer manufacture data : [www.ocular response analyzer.com](http://www.ocularresponseanalyzer.com)

Roberts C. The cornea is not a piece of plastic. *J Refract Surg*, 2000, pp. 16:407–413.

- Sahin A, Bayer A, Ozge G, Mumcuoglu T.** Corneal biomechanical changes in diabetes mellitus and their influence on intraocular pressure measurements. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci (abstract), 2009, pp. 50 (10):4597–4604.
- Schroeder B, Hager A, Kutschan A .** Measurement of viscoelastic corneal parameters (corneal hysteresis) in patients with primary open angle glaucoma Ophthalmologie 2008; 105(10):916-20.
- Sellem E, Rouland JF, Baudouin C .** Predictors of additional intraocular pressure reduction in patients changed to latanoprost/timolol fixed combination. BMC Ophthalmol 2010;10:10.
- Shah S, Laiquzzaman M, Cunliffe I, Mantry S.** The use of the Reichert ocular response analyser to establish the relationship between ocular hysteresis, corneal resistance factor and central corneal thickness in normal eyes. Cont Lens Anterior Eye 2006;29:257– 62.
- Shimmyo M, Ross A, Moy A, Mostafavi R.** Intraocular pressure, Goldmann applanation tension, corneal thickness, and corneal curvature in Caucasians, Asians, Hispanics, and African-Americans. Am J Ophthalmol, 2003, pp. 136:603– 613.
- Smolek M.** Interlamellar cohesive strength in the vertical meridian of human eye bank corneas. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 1993, pp. 34:2962-2969.
- Spoerl E, Raiskup-Wolf F, Kuhlisch E, Pillunat L.** Cigarette smoking is negatively associated with keratoconus. J Refract Surg, 2008, pp. 24:737– 740.
- Sullivan-Mee M, Halverson KD, Qualls C.** Clinical comparison of Pascal dynamic contour tonometry and Goldmann applanation tonometry in asymmetric open-angle glaucoma. J Glaucoma 2007 Dec;16(S):694-9.
- Sullivan-Mee M, Billingsley S, Patel A, Halverson K, Alldredge B, Qualls C.** Ocular response analyzer in subjects with and without glaucoma. Optom Vis Sci, 2008, pp. 85:463– 470.
- Sullivan-Mee M, Gerhardt G, Halverson KD, Qualls C :** Repeatability and reproducibility for intraocular pressure measurement by dynamic contour, ocular response analyzer, and goldmann applanation tonometry. J Glaucoma. 2009Dec;18(9):666-73.